

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/625,007	LEE ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ha T. Nguyen	2812	

All Participants:
Status of Application: non-final

 (1) Ha T. Nguyen.

(3) _____.

 (2) Mr. Steven Mills (Reg. No. 36610).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 26 May 2005
Time: 10AM
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

none

Prior art documents discussed:

none


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner called Mr. Mills to determine the exact number of pages included in the Form PTO-1449 filed on March 01, 2004. Ms. Mills indicated that only one page, not two, was filed and the examiner was authorized to correct the number of page on the form.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to be "Hm" followed by a checkmark-like flourish.